-12-

### **REMARKS**

In response to the Office Action mailed February 28, 2007, Applicants respectfully request reconsideration. To further the prosecution of this Application, Applicants submit the following remarks, have canceled claims and have added new claims. The claims as now presented are believed to be in allowable condition.

Claims 1-2, 5-8, 10, 13-16, 18-19, 21, 23, 26, and 28-37 were pending in this Application. By this Amendment, claims 21, 23, and 28 have been canceled. Applicants expressly reserve the right to prosecute at least some of the canceled claims and similar claims in one or more related Applications. Claims 38-41 have been added. Accordingly, claims 1-2, 5-8, 10, 13-16, 18-19, 26, and 29-41 are now pending in this Application. Claims 1, 2, 10, and 18 are independent claims.

Claims 1, 2, and 18 have been amended to incorporate limitations found respectively in canceled dependent claims 21, 23, and 28. Minor clarifying amendments have been made to claims 8 and 19. No new matter has been added and no new search is required.

Included with this Amendment, please find enclosed a request for an Examiner Interview.

# Rejections under §102 and §103

Claims 1, 18, 19, 21, 26, 28-30, and 35-37 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 5,365,658 (Freeman, et al.) in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,435,916 (Amberg, et al.), in further view of U.S. Patent No. 5,842,030 (Larabell, et al.). Claims 2, 5-7, 10, 13-15, 23 and 31-34 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over <u>Freeman</u> in view of <u>Amberg</u>. Claims 8 and 16 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over <u>Freeman</u> in view of <u>Amberg</u>, in further view of U.S. Patent No. 6,411,526 (Nguyen, et al).

-13-

Applicants respectfully traverse each of these rejections and request reconsideration. The claims are in allowable condition.

Freeman discloses a modular coupler 74 for connecting two cables 90, 84 to each other (Figure 2). The coupler 74 receives a first modular plug 86, attached to an end of cable 84, within a first aperture 82, and a second modular plug 92, attached to an end of cable 90, within a second aperture 88 (Figure 2). The modular coupler 74 positions within a receiving aperture 72A, which is located on a faceplate 68 (Figure 2 and Column 9, line 64 through Column 10, line 5). Locking tabs 78 and seating tabs 80 secure the modular coupler 74 within the receiving aperture 72A (Figure 2 and Column 10, lines 5-10). The modular coupler 74 is further depicted in Figure 10, where it is called modular coupler 16. Modular coupler 16 contains terminal assemblies 211 which electrically connect the modular plugs (e.g., 86, 92) through conductive portion 211C (Figure 10 and Column 13, lines 24-33).

Amberg discloses a snap-mounted electrical power connector for a printed circuit board (Abstract). The power connector 100 comprises an input power receptacle 101 and an output power receptacle 102, both contained within a single housing 103 (Column 3, lines 7-10). Internal and external power cords connect to the power receptacles 101, 102 (Column 3, lines 14-23). In the alternative embodiment of Figures 7-8, input power receptacle 701 and output power receptacle 702 are located within separate housings 703, 704 (Column 4, lines 45-61).

<u>Larabell</u> discloses a power supply subsystem for use in a system such as a memory storage system (Abstract). Such a system is shown in Figure 1 of <u>Larabell</u>.

Nguyen discloses a power cord set 100 including an elongated body 105 (Column 3, lines 44-47 and Figures 1A, 1B, 2A and 2B). Located about the elongated body 105 of the integrated coupling device 100 is a flange 150

Attorney Docket No.: EMC04-03

(Column 3, lines 53-57). The elongated body 105 is generally composed of a plastic material such as a polycarbonite or polyamide nylon (Column 3, lines 61-62). This is advantageous because it permits the power cord set 100 to be molded with any or all of the various structural embodiments of the invention molded therein (Column 3, lines 63-65).

## Claims 10, 13-16, and 33-34

Claim 10 is directed to a device for fastening a plug of a power cord to a frame which is configured to support a power supply. The device has a body configured to attach to an installation location of the frame and substantially hold the plug at the installation location of the frame when the power supply connects with and disconnects from the plug. The body includes a first end wall, a second end wall, and lateral walls which connect the first end wall and the second end wall together. When the body substantially holds the plug at the installation location of the frame and when the body is attached to the installation location of the frame, (i) the first end wall is configured to restrain the plug in a positive Z-direction relative to the frame, (ii) the second end wall is configured to restrain the plug in a negative Z-direction relative to the frame, the negative Z-direction being opposite to the positive Z-direction along a Z-axis, and (iii) the lateral walls are configured to register the plug relative to the frame in an X-Y plane which is perpendicular to the Z-axis. The plug of the power cord and the body of the device are separate components. The body of the device is configured to capture the plug in an interference fit manner and present a physical connection interface of the plug to the power supply for direct physical mating between the physical connection interface of the plug and the power supply when the device fastens the plug to the frame.

The cited references do not teach or suggest, either alone or in combination, a device *configured to capture the plug in an interference fit manner* 

-15-

and present a physical connection interface of the plug to the power supply for direct physical mating between the physical connection interface of the plug and the power supply when the device fastens the plug to the frame. Rather, Freeman teaches a modular connector 74 which receives modular plugs 86, 92 within apertures 82, 88. There is no suggestion that the modular plugs 86, 92 directly physically mate with each other within modular connector 74. Indeed, as depicted in Figure 10, the apertures 196, 202 within modular connector 16 are not adjacent one another, and the modular plugs connect only by means of terminal assemblies 211 (Column 13, lines 14-23). Therefore, Freeman specifically teaches away from a direct physical mating. In addition, Amberg teaches a snap-mounted electrical power connector for a printed circuit board (Abstract). The power connector 100 comprises an input power receptacle 101 and an output power receptacle 102 (Column 3, lines 7-10). Internal and external power cords connect to the power receptacles 101, 102 (Column 3, lines 14-23). In particular, electrical contacts 104-106 form a first portion of a current-carrying path within the power connector 100 (Column 3, lines 10-15), and electrical receptacles 110-112 form a second portion of a current-carrying path within the power connector 100 (Column 3, lines 24-32). Thus, since electrical contacts 104-106 interpose between the power cords, there is no direct physical mating between the physical connection interface of the plug and the power supply taught in either Amberg or Freeman. In both references, not only does the plug of a power cable not directly couple to a power supply (instead, coupling to an intermediary cable), but also in both references, the power cable does not even directly physically couple to the intermediary cable (instead, electrically coupling via a terminal assembly or electrical contact pins).

The Office Action, on page 9, asserts that <u>Amberg</u> suggests a device body "being configured to capture the plug in an interference fit manner and present a physical connection interface of the plug to the power supply for direct physical mating between the physical connection interface of the plug and the

-16-

power supply when the device fastens the plug," however, the Office Action does not provide a basis for this statement. Indeed, it appears to be incorrect, as discussed above.

Furthermore, the prior art does not teach or suggest connecting a plug to a power supply with a device positioned at a frame, nor would this be obvious in view of <u>Freeman</u> and <u>Amberg</u>. Even combining the teachings of <u>Freeman</u> and <u>Amberg</u>, both <u>Freeman</u> and <u>Amberg</u> only teach means for (indirectly) connecting two cables, but neither one teaches or suggests connecting the plug of a cable to a power supply.

For the reasons stated above, claim 10 patentably distinguishes over the cited references. Accordingly, the rejection of claim 10 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) should be withdrawn and claim 10 is now in allowable condition.

Because claims 13-16 depend from and further limit claim 10, claims 13-16 are in allowable condition for at least the same reasons.

Additionally, it should be understood that the dependent claims recite additional features which further patentably distinguish over the cited prior art.

For example, claim 13 recites wherein the body includes a first member and a second member which are configured to allow the plug to become encapsulated when in an open position relative to each other, and encapsulate the plug when in a closed position relative to each other. This feature is not taught or suggested by the prior art. The Office Action suggests, on page 9, that Figure 1 of Freeman teaches a body 16 having a first and second member. However, there does not appear to be any basis for this assertion. Indeed, modular connector 16 does not appear to be made up of two members. Even if modular connector 16 were to be made from two members (and Freeman does not suggest this), there is absolutely no indication in Freeman that the two members could be configured to allow the plug to become encapsulated when in an open position relative to each other, and encapsulate the plug when in a

closed position relative to each other. In fact, <u>Freeman</u> does not work that way. In <u>Freeman</u>, plugs 86, 92 may be inserted into the modular connector 74 merely by pushing a plug 86, 92 into an aperture 82, 88 of the modular connector 74 – not by encapsulating the plug within a device when two members close around it. On page 13, the Office Action notes that, actually, <u>Freeman</u> is not relied upon to teach a connector made up of two members, but rather <u>Amberg</u> is relied upon to teach that. <u>Amberg</u> does teach an embodiment having a 2-part power connector 703, 704. However, the two housings 703, 704 are not *configured to allow the plug to become encapsulated when in an open position relative to each other, and encapsulate the plug when in a closed position relative to each other. If the rejection of claim 13 is to be maintained, Applicants respectfully request that it be pointed out with particularity where the cited prior art teaches two members configured in such a manner.* 

## Claims 2, 5-8, 23, and 31-32

Claim 2 (which as currently amended is substantially identical to canceled dependent claim 23) is directed to a power cord assembly having, a power cord and a device for fastening the first plug to a frame which is configured to support a power supply. The power cord has a first plug configured to connect to the power supply, a second plug configured to connect to the power source, and a cable interconnected between the first and second plugs. The device includes a body configured to attach to an installation location of the frame and substantially hold the first plug at the installation location of the frame when the power supply connects with and disconnects from the plug. The body includes a first end wall, a second end wall, and lateral walls which connect the first end wall and the second end wall together. When the body substantially holds the first plug at the installation location of the frame and when the body is attached to the installation location of the frame, (i) the first end wall is configured to restrain the plug in a positive Z direction relative to the frame, (ii) the second end wall is configured to

-18-

z-direction being opposite to the positive Z direction along a Z-axis, and (iii) the lateral walls are configured to register the first plug relative to the frame in an X Y plane which is perpendicular to the Z axis. The first plug of the power cord and the body of the device are separate components. The body of the device is configured to capture the first plug in an interference fit manner and present a physical connection interface of the first plug to the power supply for direct physical mating between the physical connection interface of the first plug and the power supply when the device fastens the first plug to the frame.

As mentioned above in connection with claim 10, the cited references do not teach or suggest, either alone or in combination a device configured to capture the first plug in an interference fit manner and present a physical connection interface of the first plug to the power supply for direct physical mating between the physical connection interface of the first plug and the power supply when the device fastens the first plug to the frame. Therefore, the cited references do not teach or suggest a power cord assembly having such a device as recited in claim 2. Thus, claim 2 patentably distinguishes over the cited references for similar reasons as set forth in connection with claim 10 and the rejection of claim 2 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) should be withdrawn. Accordingly, claim 2 is in allowable condition.

Because claims 5-8 and 31-32 depend from and further limit claim 2, claims 5-8 and 31-32 are in allowable condition for at least the same reasons. Additionally, it should be understood that the dependent claims recite additional features which further patentably distinguish over the cited prior art. For example, claim 5 recites similar subject matter to that recited in claim 13. Therefore, claim 5 is allowable for similar reasons as claim 13, as discussed above.

-19-

## Claims 1, 19, 21, and 29-30

Claim 1 is directed to a data storage system having, a frame, operating circuitry supported by the frame, and a power subsystem configured to power the operating circuitry. The power subsystem includes (i) a power supply configured to be supported by the frame, and (ii) a power cord assembly for connecting a power supply to a power source. The power cord assembly has a power cord which includes a first plug configured to connect to the power supply, a second plug configured to connect to the power source, and a cable interconnected between the first and second plugs; and a device configured to fasten a first plug to a frame. The device includes a body configured to attach to an installation location of the frame and substantially hold the first plug at the installation location of the frame when a power supply connects with and disconnects from the plug. The body includes a first end wall, a second end wall, and lateral walls which connect the first end wall and the second end wall together. When the body substantially holds the first plug at the installation location of the frame and when the body is attached to the installation location of the frame, (i) the first end wall is configured to restrain the plug in a positive Z-direction relative to the frame. (ii) the second end wall is configured to restrain the first plug in a negative Z-direction relative to the frame, the negative Z-direction being opposite to the positive Z-direction along a Z-axis, and (iii) the lateral walls are configured to register the first plug relative to the frame in an X-Y plane which is perpendicular to the Z-axis. The first plug of the power cord and the body of the device are separate components. The body of the device is configured to capture the first plug in an interference fit manner and present a physical connection interface of the first plug to the power supply for direct physical mating between the physical connection interface of the first plug and the power supply when the device fastens the first plug to the frame

As mentioned above in connection with claim 10, the cited references do not teach or suggest, either alone or in combination a device *configured to* 

-20-

capture the first plug in an interference fit manner and present a physical connection interface of the first plug to the power supply for direct physical mating between the physical connection interface of the first plug and the power supply when the device fastens the first plug to the frame. Therefore, the cited references do not teach or suggest a data storage system having a device as recited in claim 1. Thus, claim 1 patentably distinguishes over the cited references for similar reasons as set forth in connection with claim 10 and the rejection of claim 1 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) should be withdrawn. Accordingly, claim 1 is in allowable condition.

Because claims 19 and 29-30 depend from and further limit claim 1, claims 19 and 21 are in allowable condition for at least the same reasons. Additionally, it should be understood that the dependent claims recite additional features which further patentably distinguish over the cited prior art. For example, claim 19 recites limitations similar to those recited in claim 13. Therefore, claim 19 is allowable for similar reasons as is claim 13, as discussed above.

As another example, claim 29 recites the data storage system of claim 1 wherein the device is configured to fasten the first plug to the frame such that the first plug cannot be removed from the frame without also removing the device from the frame. The Office Action, on page 6, asserts without explanation that <a href="Freeman">Freeman</a> in view of <a href="Amberg">Amberg</a> and <a href="Larabell">Larabell</a> teaches this feature. However, the cited references do not appear to teach this limitation. In fact, the plugs depicted in the references appear to be easily removable from their respective connective devices without removing the connective devices from their respective frames. If the rejection of claim 29 is to be maintained, Applicants respectfully request that it be pointed out with particularity where the cited prior art teaches wherein the device is configured to fasten the first plug to the frame such that the first plug cannot be removed from the frame without also removing the device from the frame.

Attorney Docket No.: EMC04-03

-21-

### Claims 18, 26, 28, and 35-37

U.S. Application No.: <u>10/812,442</u>

Claim 18 (which as currently amended is substantially identical to canceled dependent claim 28) is directed to a method for installing a power supply into a data storage system. The method includes fastening a device to a plug of a power cord, and attaching the device to an installation location of a frame of the data storage system. The method further includes inserting a power supply into the frame of the data storage system until the power supply mates with the plug of the power cord. The device has a first end wall, a second end wall, and lateral walls which connect the first end wall and the second end wall together. When the device substantially holds the plug at the installation location of the frame and when the device is attached to the installation location of the frame, (i) the first end wall is configured to restrain the plug in a positive Z-direction relative to the frame, (ii) the second end wall is configured to restrain the first plug in a negative Z-direction relative to the frame, the negative Zdirection being opposite to the positive Z-direction along a Z-axis, and (iii) the lateral walls are configured to register the first plug relative to the frame in an X-Y plane which is perpendicular to the Z-axis. The plug of the power cord and the body of the device are separate components. The body of the device is configured to capture the plug in an interference fit manner and present a physical connection interface of the plug to the power supply for direct physical mating between the physical connection interface of the first plug and the power supply when the device fastens the plug to the frame.

As mentioned above in connection with claim 10, the cited references do not teach or suggest, either alone or in combination, a method utilizing a device configured to capture the first plug in an interference fit manner and present a physical connection interface of the first plug to the power supply for direct physical mating between the physical connection interface of the first plug and the power supply when the device fastens the first plug to the frame.

Furthermore, and for similar reasons as stated above, the cited references do not

-22-

teach or suggest, either alone or in combination a method including the step of inserting a power supply into the frame of the data storage system until the power supply mates with the plug of the power cord. Therefore, the cited references do not teach or suggest a method as recited in claim 18. Thus, claim 18 patentably distinguishes over the cited references for similar reasons as set forth in connection with claim 10 and the rejection of claim 18 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) should be withdrawn. Accordingly, claim 18 is in allowable condition.

Because claims 26 and 35-37 depend from and further limit claim 18, claims 26 and 35-37 are in allowable condition for at least the same reasons. Additionally, it should be understood that the dependent claims recite additional features which further patentably distinguish over the cited prior art. For example, claim 26 recites similar subject matter to that recited in claim 13. Therefore, claim 26 is allowable for similar reasons as claim 13, as discussed above.

As another example, claim 35 recites similar subject matter to that recited in claim 29. Therefore, claim 35 is allowable for similar reasons as claim 29, as discussed above.

### Newly Added Claims

Claims 38-41 have been added and are believed to be in allowable condition. Claim 38 depends from claim 5. Claim 39 depends from claim 13. Claim 40 depends from claim 19. Claim 41 depends from claim 26. Support for claims 38-41 is provided within the Specification, for example, in Figures 2-3. No new matter has been added.

#### Conclusion

In view of the foregoing remarks, this Application should be in condition for allowance. A Notice to this affect is respectfully requested. If the Examiner believes, after this Amendment, that the Application is not in condition for

U.S. Application No.: <u>10/812,442</u>

Attorney Docket No.: EMC04-03

-23-

allowance, the Examiner is respectfully requested to call the Applicant's Representative at the number below.

Applicants hereby petition for any extension of time which is required to maintain the pendency of this case. If there is a fee occasioned by this Amendment, including an extension fee, that is not covered by an enclosed check, please charge any deficiency to Deposit Account No. 50-3661.

If the enclosed papers or fees are considered incomplete, the Patent Office is respectfully requested to contact the undersigned collect at (508) 616-2900, in Westborough, Massachusetts.

Respectfully submitted,

M. Ari Běhar, Esq.

Attorney for Applicants Registration No.: 58,203

Bainwood, Huang & Associates, L.L.C.

Highpoint Center 2 Connector Road

Westborough, Massachusetts 01581

Telephone: (508) 616-2900 Facsimile: (508) 366-4688

Attorney Docket No.: EMC04-03

Dated: May 29, 2007